

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

St ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. _FIRST. NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE The property of the $\sim 09/272,417$ 03/19/99 BORNHORST M - 173y : F = EXAMINER QM32/0731 RANDALL J KNUTH GERRITY, S 3510-A STELLHÖRN ROAD 🔭 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FORT WAYNE IN 46815-4631 3721 in magni Mighter Line Commission (1985) **DATE MÁILED:** 07/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

.

graph of the state of the state

A Line

er ken

gradis en la jerok. Gradiko kara en la sarra

Line Day Was Line Commence

5 () () () () () () () ()

18 to 1

Same and the same of the same

e e Trigge

10 mg - 1 gr. 24 11 42

Sec. 25.

Control of the second

tall the state of

·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/272,417	BORNHORST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 		
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 May 2000</u> .		
· _ ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 1999</u> is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
12) The bath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:		
1. received.		
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)		
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).		
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🗍 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
16) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the invention of Group I in Paper No. 5, filed 1 May 2000 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Please note that an editorial error was discovered in the previous Office Action. Claim 20 (a method claim dependent on claim 19) was listed with the claims of Group I. Claim 20 should have been listed with claim 19 in Group II. Any inconvenience is regretted.

Priority

2. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification (37 CFR 1.78).

Art Unit: 3721

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **encoder** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 4. The drawings are objected to because they are of such poor quality it makes the task of determining the content and representation of the invention extremely difficult. New drawings are requested. Correction is required.
- **5.** The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because Figures 4 and 8 show(s) modified forms of construction in the same view. The separate representations <u>must</u> be separately shown and separately labeled. Correction is required.
- **6.** Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office Action. Any proposal by the applicant for amendment of the drawings to cure defects must consist of two parts:
- a) A separate letter to the Draftsman in accordance with MPEP § 608.02(r); and
- b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink in accordance with MPEP § 608.02(v).

Art Unit: 3721

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of new formal drawings to correct the noted defect may be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or pen-and-ink sketch with proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office Action, and may not be deferred.

Specification

- 7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- (c) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

Art Unit: 3721

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because it fails to provide a clear and adequate description of the invention. The specification and the references to the drawings would not permit one having ordinary skill in the art to understand the structure of the invention; without an understanding of the structure of the invention one having ordinary skill in the art would be unable to make and use the invention.

Claim Objections

9. Claim 20 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 20 depends from canceled claim 19. As stated above, an editorial error failed to list claim 20 with claim 19, as part of the Group II invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. Claims 1-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As stated above, a person having ordinary skill in the art would be unable to

Art Unit: 3721

make and use the invention because the structure which makes up the invention is inadequately described in the written description and drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **12.** Claims 1-5, 16, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hayashi.
- **13.** Claims 1, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soviet reference 1,274,940. The Soviet reference describes a press including a slide, a press drive system and a differential reductor, i.e. a variable output differential. The recitations in the claims that the variable output differential is "operative to produce slide dwell" or "operative to produce constant slide velocity" are functional recitations which are afforded no patentable weight as they are narrative in form.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 6-246500 is cited to show a press

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/272,417

Art Unit: 3721

including a variable transmission. GB 1433112 is cited to show a press including gears and links.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (703) 308-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Stephen F. Gerrity Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

Gerrity
July 14, 2000